



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,944	09/12/2003	Daniel McCarrick	81084432	5068
22844	7590	11/29/2005	EXAMINER	
FORD GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC. SUITE 600 - PARKLANE TOWERS EAST ONE PARKLANE BLVD. DEARBORN, MI 48126				AURORA, REENA
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
		2862		

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H.A

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/659,944	MCCARRICK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Reena Aurora	2862

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 October 2005.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1 - 37 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17 - 36 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1 - 16 and 37 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/12/03, 1/31/05.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election without traverse of invention I (claims 1 – 16 and 37) in the reply filed on 10/03/05 is acknowledged.

Claims 17 – 36 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 10/03/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13 – 15 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by over Babin et al. (5,825,176).

As to claims 13 - 15, Babin discloses an apparatus for sensing the rotational speed of a inner member comprising a target component (304, fig. 15) mounted for rotation; a second component (made of low carbon steel, 308) having a portion thereof at least partially overlapping the target component (304); and a sensor (332) including a coil (334) and a magnet generating a flux path extending through said portion of the second component to said target component, the flux path having a magnetic reluctance that varies with rotation of the target component (304), the coil (334) carrying a signal generated in response to changes in said reluctance, the signal having a

predetermined peak to peak amplitude and a frequency indicative of the rotational speed of the target component (col. 4, lines 23 – 29 and col. 4, line 65 – col. 5, line 17).

As to claim 37, Babin discloses an apparatus and method for sensing the rotational speed of a inner member (304) comprising the steps of generating a magnetic flux path that substantially passes through the second component (308) and extends to the target component, rotation of the target component causing changes in a characteristic of the magnetic flux path; generating a position signal that varies in response to changes in said characteristic; and determining a rotational speed of the target component based on values of the position signal over time (col. 4, lines 23 – 29 and col. 4, line 65 – col. 5, line 17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 3 and 6 – 12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Babin et al. (5,825,176) in view of Applicant's admission (AA) and further in view of Uchida (6,853,099).

As to claims 1 - 3, Babin et al. (hereinafter Babin) discloses an apparatus for sensing the rotational speed of a inner member comprising a target component (304, fig. 15) supported for rotation; a second component (made of low carbon steel) (308)

having a portion thereof at least partially overlapping the target component (304); and a sensor (332) including a coil and a magnet generating a flux path extending through the portion of the second component to the target component, the flux path having a reluctance that varies with rotation of the target component, the coil carrying a signal generated in response to changes in said reluctance, the signal having a frequency representing the rotational speed of the target component (col. 4, lines 23 – 29 and col. 4, line 65 – col. 5, line 17). Babin does not explicitly disclose the portion of the second component being formed of material having a relative magnetic permeability equal to or less than 25.0. However, AA clearly discloses that the second component has low magnetic permeability (page 2, lines 6 - 9). Uchida discloses a movable element made of a nonmagnetic material with a low magnetic permeability such as carbon steel (col. 8, lines 1 - 7). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Babin in view of AA and further in view of the teachings of Uchida such that providing a low carbon steel nonmagnetic second component having low magnetic permeability resulting in increased efficiency of the device.

As to claim16, Babin discloses an apparatus for sensing the rotational speed of a inner member comprising a second component (308) having at least a portion surrounding the target component (304); and a magnetic source (332) generating a magnetic flux path within which the target component (304) and second component (308) are located, rotation of the target component (304) causing changes in a characteristic of the magnetic flux path; a detector (332) generating a position signal

Art Unit: 2862

that varies in response to changes in said characteristic; and a controller for determining a rotational speed of the target component based on values of said position signal over time (col. 4, lines 23 – 29 and col. 4, line 65 – col. 5, line 17). Babin does not explicitly disclose the portion being formed of material having a relative magnetic permeability equal to or less than 25.0. However, AA clearly discloses that the second component has low magnetic permeability (page 2, lines 6 - 9). Uchida discloses a movable element made of a nonmagnetic material with a low magnetic permeability such as carbon steel (col. 8, lines 1 - 7). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Babin in view of AA and further in view of the teachings of Uchida such that providing a low carbon steel nonmagnetic second component having low magnetic permeability resulting in increased efficiency of the device.

As to claims 6 and 7, Babin discloses that the target component (304) includes an outer surface facing the sensor and having a plurality of mutually spaced surface variations (308, 328) on the outer surface.

As to claim 8, Babin discloses that the magnet generating a magnetic field and the sensor further comprises a ferrite core (340, 326), and the coil (334) is wound around the core.

As to claims 9 – 12, Babin, AA and Uchida fail to disclose that the stainless is formed by stamping. However, it is well known in the art to stamp stainless at a desired temperature to exhibit desired resiliency.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Babin et al. (5,825,176) in view of Applicant's admission (AA) and Uchida (6,853,099) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Garshelis (6,260,423).

As to claim 4, Babin, AA and Uchida fail to disclose that the material of the second component has a concentration of martensite that is less than thirty percent. Garshelis discloses a magnetoelastic torque sensor wherein Garshelis further discloses that martensite has permeability in the range from 10 to 100 (col. 25, lines 28 - 31). Therefore, the lower the concentration of martensite the lower the permeability of the material. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Babin in view of Applicant's admission and Uchida and further in view of the teachings of Garshelis such that providing lower concentration of martensite in second component would result in decreased permeability of the second component.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Babin et al. (5,825,176) in view of Applicant's admission (AA) and Uchida (6,853,099) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hansen (6,528,989).

As to claim 5, Babin, AA and Uchida fail to disclose that the portion is a member of the group consisting of aluminum, titanium and stainless steel. Hansen discloses a magnetic tracker wherein Hansen further discloses that aluminum, titanium and stainless steel (col. 25, lines 28 - 31). Therefore, the lower the concentration of martensite the lower the permeability of the material. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have

Art Unit: 2862

modified the device of Babin in view of Applicant's admission and Uchida and further in view of the teachings of Hansan such that providing the portion is a member of the group consisting of aluminium, titanium and stainless steel having low permeability would result in overall decreased permeability of the second component.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reena Aurora whose telephone number is 571-272-2263. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, E. Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Reena Aurora